



**From:** Martha Winch [redacted]    
**Subject:** Your emails to Police and the Customer Assistance Unit [SEC=OFFICIAL]  
**Date:** 8 December 2024 at 21:34  
**To:** [redacted]  
**Cc:** #KXXO [redacted]



Good evening Tony,

In regards to the emails that you have previously sent me, I have clearly outlined that I cannot provide you with any further information related to the matter that is currently before the Courts.

You were charged on 12-5-24. The matter is going to Hearing at the Downing Centre Local Court 10 June 2025. The offence is "Use carriage service to menace/harass/offend".

You were served paperwork that states you must attend Court on that date.

As I have told you, any issues you have with the evidence in that matter of what occurred related to the matter, is to be raised in Court or with your solicitor. If you do not have a solicitor, legal aid may be able to assist you.

In regards to your requests for information regarding Issac Rushton such as;

*I urgently request:*

- o *Confirmation on whether Issac's charges are related to the information I provided.*
- o *Assurance that his bail conditions and activities are actively being monitored.*
- o *Formal recognition and investigation into the impersonation.*
- o *Questions and Requests:*
- o
- o *1. Can the police confirm whether Issac was charged based on information I provided to Crime Stoppers?*
- o *2. If Issac is on bail, is his continued use of these accounts to engage in illegal activity being monitored or investigated?*
- o *3. Can steps be taken to formally acknowledge and investigate the impersonation, ensuring I am not wrongfully implicated in his criminal activities?*
- o

You will not be provided any information regarding Rushton at any time. You have previous and current domestic violence matters together including previous AVO's.

I would ask that unless you have a new matter to report to Police, you do not contact NSW Police regarding the matter before the Court and your ex partner Issac Rushton. The brief of evidence would have been served and will contain the documents related to the matter. Once again, speak to your solicitor regarding the evidence in this matter.

I note that your email states that you are not writing to complain about Police, you are seeking information and clarification around your matter. I believe I have provided that clarity.





**Martha Winch**  
**Inspector**  
**Professional Standards Duty Officer**  
**Kings Cross Police Area Command**

E: [redacted]

---

This email and any attachments may be confidential and contain privileged information. It is intended for the addressee only. If you are not the intended recipient you must not use, disclose, copy or distribute this communication. Confidentiality or privilege are not waived or lost by reason of the mistaken delivery to you. If you have received this message in error, please delete and notify the sender.



**From:** Martha Winch [redacted]    
**Subject:** RE: Your emails to Police and the Customer Assistance Unit [SEC=OFFICIAL]  
**Date:** 9 December 2024 at 19:59  
**To:** Tony Smith [redacted]



Tony,

Once again, I will not be providing information about another person to you.

The matters you have raised do not amount to misconduct of a Police Officer and as such, my communication with you will be ending.

Regards,



**Martha Winch**  
Inspector  
Professional Standards Duty Officer  
Kings Cross Police Area Command

E: [redacted]

---

**From:** Tony Smith <[redacted]>  
**Sent:** Monday, December 9, 2024 11:04 AM  
**To:** Martha Winch <[redacted]>  
**Cc:** #KXXO <[redacted]>; Andrew (LECC) Best <[redacted]>  
**Subject:** Re: Your emails to Police and the Customer Assistance Unit [SEC=OFFICIAL]

Request for Clarification and Transparency

Dear Inspector Winch,

Thank you for your late response. However, your email raises concerns regarding the transparency and accountability of the NSW Police's handling of this matter, which I feel compelled to address.

1.

**Reluctance to Address Issac Rushton's Alleged Activities:** Your refusal to confirm whether Issac was charged based on information I provided, or to address his alleged impersonation and potential bail breaches, suggests a troubling lack of investigation into his ongoing actions. This avoidance undermines confidence in the police's willingness to ensure that justice is applied equally, particularly given the serious nature of these allegations.

2.

**Focus on Prior Domestic Violence Matters:** Your repeated references to past matters and AVOs involving Issac seem to deflect from the critical issues at hand. These historical matters do not negate the need for police to address my legitimate concerns about his current behaviour or ensure that I am not wrongfully implicated in his activities.

3.

**Deflection of Responsibility:** Directing me to raise concerns exclusively in Court or with a solicitor appears to sidestep accountability on the part of the police. This is especially significant given my queries about whether my previous reports to Crime Stoppers were acted upon or if steps are being taken to monitor Issac's activities while on bail.

While I understand that aspects of this matter are now before the Court, my questions about Issac's activities and police handling of the information I provided remain valid and separate from the Court proceedings. As such, I reiterate the following requests:

•

Confirmation on whether Issac was charged based on the information I submitted to Crime Stoppers.

•

Clarification on whether police are actively monitoring his bail conditions to ensure compliance.

•

Assurance that the impersonation has been formally investigated and that I am not being wrongfully implicated in his alleged criminal activities. Attached is his continuing drug sales account in my name. Which based on my previous and current eight charges and threats by issac for reporting his illegal operation , I expect to be charged at some point in the future.




. I have not been served the brief. Attached is his continuing drug sales account in my name.


If these matters cannot be addressed due to policy, I ask for the specific legislation or guidelines that prevent the police from providing this information, so I may understand the limits of your response.

Finally, while you state that you believe you have clarified my inquiries, your email does not substantively address the concerns I raised about systemic accountability or equal treatment under the law. I respectfully request a more thorough and transparent response.

Kind regards,  
Tony

The screenshot shows a mobile application interface. At the top, there is a navigation bar with a back arrow and a blue circle containing the number '2'. To the right of this is the name 'Tony Smith' with a small cartoon face icon, and below it, the text 'last seen recently'. Further right is a blue circular profile picture containing the letters 'TS'. The background of the app is dark with a pattern of light-colored, hand-drawn icons including a watermelon slice, a dog, a cat, a bone, a star, a pizza slice, a bird, a fish, a cup, and various candies. In the center, there is a dark grey rounded rectangle containing the text 'Kandy King' in white. Below this, the pricing is listed: '.1g:\$50 .5g:\$200 1g :\$350 1/2 🍬 : \$450 🍬 :\$850'. At the bottom of the screen is a cartoon illustration of a yellow, bottle-shaped character with a green cap, blue eyes, and a red mouth, holding a purple and green striped lollipop.





On 8 Dec 2024, at 21:34, Martha Winch <[redacted]> wrote:

Good evening Tony,

In regards to the emails that you have previously sent me, I have clearly outlined that I cannot provide you with any further information related to the matter that is currently before the Courts.

You were charged on 12-5-24. The matter is going to Hearing at the Downing Centre Local Court 10 June 2025. The offence is "Use carriage service to menace/harass/offend".

You were served paperwork that states you must attend Court on that date.

As I have told you, any issues you have with the evidence in that matter of what occurred related to the matter, is to be raised in Court or with your solicitor. If you do not have a solicitor, legal aid may be able to assist you.

In regards to your requests for information regarding Issac Rushton such as;

*I urgently request:*

- o Confirmation on whether Issac's charges are related to the information I provided.
- o Assurance that his bail conditions and activities are actively being monitored.
- o Formal recognition and investigation into the impersonation.
- o Questions and Requests:
  - o 1. Can the police confirm whether Issac was charged based on information I provided to Crime Stoppers?

- o 2. If Issac is on bail, is his continued use of these accounts to engage in illegal activity being monitored or investigated?
- o 3. Can steps be taken to formally acknowledge and investigate the impersonation, ensuring I am not wrongfully implicated in his criminal activities?
- o

You will not be provided any information regarding Rushton at any time. You have previous and current domestic violence matters together including previous AVO's.

I would ask that unless you have a new matter to report to Police, you do not contact NSW Police regarding the matter before the Court and your ex partner Issac Rushton. The brief of evidence would have been served and will contain the documents related to the matter. Once again, speak to your solicitor regarding the evidence in this matter.

I note that your email states that you are not writing to complain about Police, you are seeking information and clarification around your matter. I believe I have provided that clarity.



**Martha Winch**  
**Inspector**  
**Professional Standards Duty Officer**  
**Kings Cross Police Area Command**

E: [REDACTED]

---

This email and any attachments may be confidential and contain privileged information. It is intended for the addressee only. If you are not the intended recipient you must not use, disclose, copy or distribute this communication. Confidentiality or privilege are not waived or lost by reason of the mistaken delivery to you. If you have received this message in error, please delete and notify the sender.

